

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Moving to Work Research Federal Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 03:08:32 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Housing and Urban Development

2. Fiscal Year

2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Moving to Work Research Federal Advisory Committee

3b. GSA Committee No.

2570

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

05/02/2018

6. Expected Renewal Date

05/02/2020

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

§239 of the FY2016 Appropriations Act, Public Law 114-113 ("the Act").

13. Effective Date

12/18/2015

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open 1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
Discuss and provide recommendations on whether HUD should study through the MTW expansion the appropriate amount of Federal subsidy in the tenant-based program that balances both the impact on assisted families/housing quality and the cost to Federal taxpayers. Also to discuss rent reform cohort recommendation.	01/25/2018	01/25/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00

18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

During in-person and phone meetings, Committee members discuss a variety of policies that are of interest to the assisted housing industry and that could be studied by new MTW PHAs. For each policy idea, the committee discusses how the policy could be structured and potential research methods, ultimately recommending whether such policies should be considered by HUD for study. The Committee has used written public comments, research studies, HUD program information, and verbal public comment from meeting attendees to help inform its dialogue.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

HUD created a Membership Balance Plan to ensure a balanced membership for this committee.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee will meet in-person approximately one (1) time per fiscal year and by conference call up to six (6) times as needed to render advice to HUD.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This Committee was established by Congress in statute, thus Congress determined there was a need for external industry and research expertise to inform the policies studied under the MTW Expansion.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Not Applicable

21. Remarks

The committee did not formally designate a chair or co-chairs, instead the Committee operated through consensus. Payments to Federal Employees serving as Committee members (RGEs) is their Federal salaries only and not any other payments.

Designated Federal Officer

Eva C Fontheim MTW Coordinator

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Castillo, Janny	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	Oakland Housing Authority	Representative Member
Coney, Asia	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Representative Member
DeLuca, Stefanie	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	Department of Sociology Johns Hopkins University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fernandez, Cindy	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	Housing Authority of the County of Tulare	Representative Member
Hinojosa Jr., Ed	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	San Antonio Housing Authority	Representative Member
Joseph, Mark	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	National Initiative on Mixed-Income Communities Case Western Reserve University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Khadduri, Jill	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	Abt Associates	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lamberty, Chris	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	Lincoln Housing Authority	Representative Member
Meehan, Josh	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	Keene Housing Authority	Representative Member
Nazzaro, Marianne	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	HUD	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Orr, Larry	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	Johns Hopkins University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Patillo, Mary	06/29/2018	05/02/2020	Professor at Northwestern University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Razo, Maria	06/29/2018	05/02/2020	Executive Director of Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Representative Member
Richardson, Todd	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	HUD	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Schwartz, Heather	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	RAND	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Simms, Austin	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	Representative Member
Todman, Adrienne	06/21/2016	06/21/2018	District of Columbia Housing Authority	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 17

Narrative Description

HUD has convened with the MTW Research Advisory Committee to explore possible policies to study under the MTW Expansion to bring on 100 additional Public Housing Authorities into the MTW Demonstration Program. The Committee helps to inform HUD by providing recommendations which will help HUD make decisions on which policies to study.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements



Other



Outcome Comments

Not Applicable

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None



Unable to Determine



Under \$100,000



\$100,000 - \$500,000



\$500,001 - \$1,000,000



\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000



\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000



Over \$10,000,000



Cost Savings Other



Cost Savings Comments

Not Applicable

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

3

Number of Recommendations Comments

The 3 preliminary recommendations are as follows: Committee recommends the first cohort policy to study is the general effect of MTW flexibility for PHAs with fewer than 1,000 combined units. The second policy recommended to study is rent reform. Under this cohort MTW agencies, the Committee suggests three rent structures for PHAs to choose from: stepped-up rents, flat rents, or income-based tiered rents. PHAs would also be allowed to include time limits or work requirements provided they had a viable strategy for participants to access supportive services. The third policy recommended for study is landlord incentive programs. Under this cohort, MTW Agencies would agree to utilize MTW flexibilities that might increase landlord participation. Agencies could propose some combination of payment standard flexibility, financial incentives to landlords, and less frequent inspections.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or

will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Not Applicable

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Not Applicable

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

Not Applicable

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Not Applicable

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

Not Applicable

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Contact DFO | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Online Agency Web Site | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Online Committee Web Site | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Online GSA FACA Web Site | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Publications | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Access Comments

Not Applicable